UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/642,998	08/18/2003	John D. Hatlestad	GUID.058PA	2963	
51294 7590 08/20/2007 HOLLINGSWORTH & FUNK, LLC			EXAM	EXAMINER	
8009 34TH AV	•	·	MUSSELMAN, TIMOTHY A		
SUITE 125 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55425			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3714		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

7.	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/642,998	HATLESTAD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Timothy Musselman	3714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	opears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMU. 136(a). In no event, however, made will apply and will expire SIX (6) the, cause the application to become	JNICATION. By a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BY ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 July 2007</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12,14 and 35-46 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12, 14, 35-46 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or continuous con	awn from consideration					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the sheet	ccepted or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected or about the drawing (s) be held in about the drawing of the drawing of the drawing or b).	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ving(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received ority documents have b au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper 5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application				

W)

Application/Control Number: 10/642,998

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Status of claims

In response to the correspondence dated 7/19/2007, claims 1-12, 14, and 35-46 are pending. Claims 47-56 have been cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of the relevant portion of 35 U.S.C. 103 that forms the basis for the rejections made in this section of the office action;

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Claims 1-12, 14, and 35-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cohen (US 5,520,176) in view of Walsh et al. (US 6,200,265) and 'Aircraft Noise and Sleep Disturbance: Final Report', prepared by the Civil Aviation Authority London on behalf of the Department of Trade, August 1980.

Regarding claims 1 and 35-36, Cohen discloses a system and methods for sleep quality data collection and analysis utilizing various measured physiological parameters. See col. 2: 33-59. Cohen fails to teach of utilizing non-physiological parameters in the research process. However, a *research* study conducted by the Civil Aviation Authority London published in 1980 pertains explicitly to this very subject. See page two, in the report summary, wherein it is described how aircraft noise was *measured* in various London neighborhoods on aircraft approach paths to the two main London airports in order to better understand how the noise interfered with the *sleep processes* of residents. It would have been obvious to one of

Application/Control Number: 10/642,998

Art Unit: 3714

ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate background noise measurements (i.e. non-physiological parameter measurements) in the manner of the 1980 CAA *sleep quality research* project, into the *sleep research* System of Cohen, in order to expand the usefulness of the research system of Cohen to include cause and effect data pertaining to sleep problems. Cohen additionally fails to teach wherein the collection and storing of sleep quality data occurs via an implantable device (claims 1 and 36). However, Walsh discloses utilizing implantable devices to detect and store measured physiological data. See col. 2: 30-48. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize a device as disclosed by Walsh, in the system of Cohen, because such a combination would not produce any unexpected results, but would merely be a combination of elements known in the art at the time of the invention.

Regarding Claims 2-6 and 8-12, Cohen further discloses the detection and determination of metrics pertaining to; cardio-vascular conditions (Claim 2), see col. 6: 12-20; respiratory and disordered breathing conditions (claims 3, 11, 39, and 43), see col. 4: 3-10 and col. 4: 41-45; muscle system and movement disorder conditions (claims 4, 12, 40, and 44), see col. 8: 38-45; blood chemistry conditions (claim 5), see col. 5: 33-35; nervous system conditions (claim 6), See col. 8: 38-54; contextual conditions (claim 8), see the provided citations for claims 2-6; and data pertaining to sleep stages and sleep disruptions (claims 9-10 and 37-38), See col. 7: 20-25.

Regarding claim 7, the detection of environmental conditions (e.g. background noise) for sleep research is an obvious variation of Cohen in view of the CAA report as described above with regard to claim 1.

Regarding claims 14 and 46, Cohen further discloses transmitting the collected sleep quality data to a different device. See fig 1, labels 12-17.

Regarding claims 41-42, Cohen further discloses determining and trending the measured sleep quality metrics over time. See col. 3: 17-37.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,998

Art Unit: 3714

_

Page 4

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments in the amendment and pre-appeal brief dated 7/19/2007 have been fully

considered and are persuasive. The previous rejections are withdrawn, and this action is made

NON_FINAL. However, new grounds of rejection have been found.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Timothy Musselman whose telephone number is (571)272-1814. The examiner can

normally be reached on Mon-Thu 6:00AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571)272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative

or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

1000.

TM

TM

Ronald Jonean

Ronald Laneau

Supervisory Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3714

8/16/07